

| 1 | BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California | | |
|------------|--|--|------------|
| 2 | VIVIEN HARA HERSH (SBN 084589) | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSAN K. MEADOWS (SBN 115092) | | |
| | Deputy Attorney General | | |
| 4 | 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102 | | |
| 5 | Telephone: (415) 703-5552 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7. | Attorneys for Complainant | | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF CONSU | • | |
| 9 | STATE OF CALIF | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: |) Case No. W211) OAH No. N2001070621 | |
| 12 | |) | |
| 13 | FRANK D. LIEBERMAN , Ph.D. P.O. Box 554 |)) | ENT |
| 14 | Cool, CA 95614 (Address of Record) |) AND DISCIPLINARY OR) | <u>DER</u> |
| 15 | Psychologist's License |)) | |
| 16 | No. PSY 4624 | | |
| | Respondent. |) | |
| 17 | |) | |
| 18 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the | | |
| 19 | parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following | | |
| 20 | matters are true: | | |
| 21 | 1. Accusation No. W211 (hereinafter "Accusation") in | | n") in |
| 2 2 | the above-entitled case has been filed with the Board of | | |
| 23 | Psychology, California Department of Consumer Affairs | | |
| 24 | (hereinafter "Board") and is currently pending against Frank D. | | |
| 25 | Lieberman(hereinafter "respondent"). | | |
| 26 | <i>//</i> | | |
| 27 | // | | |

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

A copy of said Accusation is attached hereto as 2. Exhibit "A" and is hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

- Complainant, Thomas S. O'Connor, is the Executive Officer of the Board of Psychology and brought this action solely in his official capacity. The Complainant is represented by the Attorney General of California, Bill Lockyer, by and through Deputy Attorney General Susan K. Meadows.
- Respondent has been duly notified of his right to legal representation in this matter and is represented by Bruce W. Ebert, Ph.D., Attorney at Law, P.O. Box 600, Rocklin, CA 95677.
- The respondent is aware of the charges contained in the Accusation and the respondent is fully aware of his legal rights and of the effects of this stipulation.
- On June 30, 1976, respondent was licensed by the Board of Psychology under PSY No. 4624.
- Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon him. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, appeal and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure

Act and other applicable laws. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and gives up each and every one of these rights.

- 8. This stipulation is the result of a compromise between the Board and respondent. For purposes of the settlement of this action pending against respondent as alleged in the Accusation, respondent agrees that if the charges alleged in the Accusation were proven at trial, cause would exist for disciplinary action against his license. Respondent stipulates that the Board has jurisdiction to enter the following disciplinary order pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2960.
- 9. Based upon the above recitals, the parties hereby agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

10. It is hereby ordered that License No. PSY 4624 issued to respondent Frank D. Lieberman, Ph.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years subject to the following terms and conditions:

A. Coursework

Respondent shall take and successfully complete not less than twelve (12) hours of coursework during each year of probation including, but not limited to, the following areas: Ethics, and Clinical Practice. Coursework must be preapproved by the Board or its designee. All coursework

shall be taken at the graduate level at an accredited educational institution or be provided by an approved continuing education provider. Classroom attendance is specifically required; correspondence or home study coursework shall not count toward meeting this requirement.

The coursework must be in addition to any continuing education courses that may be required for license renewal.

Within ninety (90) days of the effective data of this

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval a plan for meeting the educational requirements. All costs of the coursework shall be paid by the respondent.

B. <u>Ethics Course</u>

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval a course in laws and ethics as they relate to the practice of psychology. This Ethics Course must be in addition to any law and ethics courses that may be required for license renewal. Said course must be successfully completed at an accredited educational institution or through a provider approved by the Board's accreditation agency for continuing education credit. Said course must be taken and completed within one year from the effective date of this Decision. The costs associated with the law and ethics course shall be paid by the respondent.

4.

27 | //

//

C. Community Service - Free Services

Within 60 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval a community service program in which respondent shall provide free psychological services on a regular basis to community, charitable facility, government entity or a non-profit corporation tax exempt under the Internal Revenue Code for at least 8 (eight) hours per month for the 36 (thirty-six) months that respondent is on probation.

D. <u>Investigation/Enforcement Cost Recovery</u>

Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of twenty three hundred dollars (\$2,300.00) within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Decision. Said costs shall be payable to the Board of Psychology. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

E. Probation Costs

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring for each and every year of probation. Said probation costs are currently \$96.01 per month. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Psychology at the end of each fiscal year. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

26 | //

27 //

F. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws and all regulations governing the practice of psychology in California, including the ethical guidelines of the American Psychological Association. A full and detailed account of any and all violations of law shall be reported by respondent to the Board or its designee in writing within seventy-two (72) hours of their occurrence.

G. Quarterly Reports

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board or its designee, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

H. <u>Probation Compliance</u>

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation program and shall, upon reasonable notice, report to the assigned District Office of the Medical Board of California or other designated probation monitor. Respondent shall contact the assigned probation officer regarding any questions specific to the probation order. Respondent shall not have any unsolicited or unapproved contact with 1) complainants associated with the case; 2) Board members or members of its staff; or 3) persons serving the Board as expert evaluators.

I. <u>Interview with Board or Its Designee</u>

Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

J. Changes of Employment

Respondent shall notify the Board in writing, through the assigned probation officer, of any and all changes of employment, location, and address within thirty (30) days of any such change.

K. <u>Tolling for Out-of-State Practice</u>, <u>Residence or In-State Non-Practice</u>

In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice outside the State of California, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the date of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in the practice of psychology as defined in Sections 2902 and 2903 of the Business and Professions Code and subject to the following exception: For purposes of this stipulation only, respondent's monthly free psychological community services performed in the State of California shall be deemed to be the practice of psychology in satisfaction of this provision. Periods of temporary or permanent residency or practice outside California shall not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.

L. <u>Employment and Supervision of Trainees</u>

If respondent is licensed as a psychologist, she shall not employ or supervise or apply to employ or supervise psychological assistants, interns or trainees during the

course of this probation. Respondent further agrees not to employ or supervise any clinical social worker interns or trainees, or any marriage, family, child counselor interns or trainees during the course of this probation. Respondent shall terminate any such supervisorial or employment relationship in existence on the effective date of this probation.

M. Future Registration or Licensure

If respondent is currently registered as a psychological assistant and subsequently obtains other psychological assistant registrations or becomes licensed as a psychologist during the course of this probationary order, respondent agrees that this Decision shall remain in full force and effect until the probationary period is successfully terminated.

N. <u>License Surrender</u>

Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Board reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

O. <u>Violation of Probation</u>

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board may, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final. No Petition for Modification or Termination of Probation shall be considered while there is an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation pending against respondent.

P. <u>Completion of Probation</u>

Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's license shall be fully restored.

CONTINGENCY

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

27 1/

2

ACCEPTANCE

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney, Bruce W. Ebert, Esq. I understand the effect that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my psychology license, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily. I agree that a facsimile copy of my signature on this document shall be as binding upon me as if it were the original.

DATED: 9/24/201

Frank D. Lieberman, Ph.D.

Respondent

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and
Disciplinary Order and approve of it as to form and content. I
have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters
therein with respondent Frank D. Lieberman, Ph.D. I agree that a
facsimile copy of my signature on this document shall be binding

upon me as if it were the original.

DATED: 9/26/2001

Bruce W. Ebert, Ph.D. ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

26 //

27 1/

ENDORSEMENT

| 2 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| 3 | Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of | | |
| 4 | the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, State o | | |
| 5 | California. | | |
| 6 | DATED: BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California | | |
| 7 | VIVIEN HARA HERSH Supervising Deputy Attorney General | | |
| 9 | SUSAN K. MEADOWS | | |
| 10 | Deputy Attorney General | | |
| 11 | Attorneys for Complainant | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | DECISION AND ORDER OF THE | | |
| 14 | BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 15 | DEFERMENT OF CONDUMER AFFAIRS, STATE OF CARIFORNIA | | |
| 16 | The foregoing Stipulation for Settlement and Order, | | |
| 17 | Case No. W211, is hereby adopted as the Order of the Board of | | |
| 18 | Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California. | | |
| 19 | An effective date of <u>December 19</u> , 2001, | | |
| 20 | has been assigned to this Decision and Order. | | |
| 21 | Made this <u>19th</u> day of <u>November</u> , 2001. | | |
| 2 2 | | | |
| 23 | mig seenles | | |
| 24 | MARTIN R. GREENBERG, Ph.D., PRESIDENT | | |
| 25 | BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 26 | | | |

Exhibit Af Accusation

EXHIBIT A

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY
SACRAMENTO DILING 13 2001
BY AM CARRELL AMORDO ANALYST

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No.115092 Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Ave, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-5552 Fax: (415) 703-5480

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the Accusation Against |) Case No. W211 |
|---|--|
| Frank D. Lieberman, Ph.D. P.O. Box 554 Cool, CA 95614 (Address of Record) |)))) <u>ACCUSATION</u>) |
| Psychologist's License No. PSY 4624 | { |
| Respondent. | } |
| | TOTAL STREET, STATE OF THE STAT |

The Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

- 1. Complainant, Thomas S. O'Connor, is the Executive Officer of the California Board of Psychology ("Board of Psychology" or "board") and brings this accusation solely in his official capacity.
- 2. At all times material herein, respondent, Frank D. Lieberman, Ph.D. ("respondent") has held Psychologist's License No. PSY 4624 which was issued to him by the board on or about June 30, 1976. Said license is valid and renewed until November 30, 2001. Said license has been subject to prior disciplinary action in that on or about June 8, 1995 an Accusation was filed against said license. A Decision in that matter became effective on August

9, 1996 wherein respondent's license was placed on three years probation. On August 9, 1999, respondent's probationary period was completed and his license was fully restored.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This accusation is brought before the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code").
- 4. At the time of the events alleged herein, (May of 1997 through July of 1997) Section 2960 of the Business and Professions Code read, in pertinent part, that the Psychology Board may suspend, revoke, or place on probation a licensee for any of the following causes:
 - (n) The commission of any dishonest, corrupt, or fraudulent act.
- 5. Business and Professions Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the California Department of Consumer Affairs, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 6. Business and Professions Code section 2962.6 provides that "[an administrative disciplinary decision that imposes terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee who is being placed on probation pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. At all times relevant to this matter, respondent practiced as a psychologist in the State of California. This case was initiated by a written complaint received by the Board on November 9, 1999 from the State Board of Control, State of California.
 - 8.. On or about May 22, 1997, patient L.H. was referred to respondent

^{1.} Initials are used to protect the privacy of the patient. The full name of the patient will be disclosed upon receipt of respondent's Request for Discovery.

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

through the Alameda County Victim/Witness Program for counseling. L.H. had been attacked and stabbed in her bedroom on March 9, 1997 and was having flashbacks of the event. L.H. went to respondent to deal specifically with the stabbing. She saw respondent twice a week from approximately May 22, 1997 to July 9, 1997.

- 9. On or about July 9, 1997, L.H. discontinued counseling with respondent because she did not feel it was helpful.
- 10. L.H. never received any bills from respondent because the Victim/Witness Program paid for the counseling. On her first visit to respondent, he asked her to sign a small form or card indicating that she had attended that particular session. At no time did L.H. give respondent permission to sign her name or initials to any of the billing forms that respondent submitted to the Victim/Witness Program for payment.
- 11. On or about October 29, 1997, and December 4, 1997, a claims specialist with the Victims of Crime Compensation Program sent L.H. letters requesting that she verify the following: her signature on the bottom of the billing/verification forms submitted by respondent to the Victims of Crime Compensation Program on or about August 22, 1997 for payment; her initials beside the dates the therapy sessions allegedly occurred; and, the last date that she was in therapy with respondent. Respondent had received payment for the services he requested on August 22, 1997 from the Victims of Crime Program or about September 30, 1997.
- 12. L.H. advised the Victims of Crime Compensation Program the following: (1) the signature on the bottom of the billing/verification forms submitted by respondent on August 22, 1997 was not her signature; (2) she did not initial the dates on the billing/verification forms dated August, 1997 for which she allegedly received therapy from respondent; (3) she did not give respondent permission to sign her name or write her initials on the billing/verification forms; and, (4) she did not receive therapy from respondent on the following dates for which respondent requested payment: July 11, 1997, July 14, 1997, July 16, 1997, July 21, 1997, July 23, 1997, July 28, 1997, and July 30, 1997.
 - 13. After contacting L.H., the claims specialist contacted respondent about the

1 al 2 th 3 th 4 up 5 m 6 br 7 th

alleged overpayment (totaling \$630.00) he had received from the Victims of Crime Program for the above dates in question. Respondent, on or about November 6, 1997, refunded \$180.00 of the \$630.00 in question and explained that "a billing error was made because L.H. did not show up for scheduled appointments" on July 28, 1997 and July 30, 1997. Subsequently, respondent made payments to the State Board of Control, Victims of Crime Program totaling \$450.00 to bring the amount owed on the overpayment to a zero balance. On or about December 27, 1999, the State Board of Control sent respondent a letter confirming that respondent had refunded the overpayment of \$630.00.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

(Patient L.H.)

(Dishonest, Corrupt or Fraudulent Acts)

herein as if fully set forth. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 2960 subsection (n) in that he committed the following dishonest, corrupt, or fraudulent acts: (1) Respondent submitted billing/verification forms dated August 22, 1997 requesting payment from the Victims of Crime Compensation Program for therapy sessions that L.H. did not schedule or attend on July 11, 1997, July 14, 1997, July 16, 1997, July 21, 1997, July 23, 1997, July 28, 1997, and July 30, 1997; and/or, (2) Respondent forged L.H.'s signature on the billing/verification forms he submitted dated August 22, 1997 and he did not have L.H.'s permission to sign her name to these forms; and/or, (3) Respondent signed L.H.'s initials to the billing/verification forms submitted on August 22, 1997 verifying that L.H. had received treatment on the dates indicated on the forms. Respondent did not have L.H.'s permission to sign her initials to these forms and L.H. did not receive treatment from respondent on the dates indicated on the billing forms after July 9, 1997.

15. Therefore, cause for discipline exists pursuant to section 2960(n) of the Business and Professions Code.

WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged

above, and that following the hearing, the board issue a decision:

- 1. Suspending or revoking Psychology License No. PSY 4624 issued to respondent Frank D. Lieberman, Ph.D.;
- 2. Ordering respondent to pay the board the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
- 3. Taking such other and further action as the board deems necessary and proper.

DATED: June 13, 2001

THOMAS S. O'CONNOR, Executive Officer Board of Psychology

Complainant

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

In the Matter of the Accusation Filed Against:

Frank D. Lieberman, Ph.D.

No.:_W211

I, the undersigned, declare that I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 1422 Howe Avenue, Ste. 22 Sacramento, California 95825. I served a true copy of the attached:

DECISION AND ORDER

by mail on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope (or envelopes) addressed (respectively) as follows:

NAME AND ADDRESS

CERT NO.

Frank D. Lieberman, Ph.D. P.O. Box 554 Cool, CA 95614 7001 0360 0004 6984

Bruce W. Ebert, Attorney at Law P.O. Box 600 Rocklin, CA 95677

Susan K. Meadows Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Ave., Ste. 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102

Each said envelope was then on, <u>November 19, 2001</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States mail at Sacramento, California, the county in which I am employed, as certified mail, with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and return receipt requested.

Executed on, November 19, 2001, at Sacramento, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Mary Laackmann

Enforcement Analyst